

**IN-RESIDENCE PROGRAM COMPONENT
COMPANION SELF-EVALUATION REPORT**

**REPORT TEMPLATE**

# Background

The Distance Education Accrediting Commission’s accreditation process is grounded on the fundamental principle of peer review that enables faculty and administrative staff from within higher education to make recommendations essential to ensuring the quality of learning and institutional operations for all students. The process is guided by transparent standards that are established collaboratively by professional peers and member institutions.

The business evaluator verifies whether the institution is achieving its mission by delivering quality educational offerings in a physical location that meets DEAC accreditation standards. This report informs the Commission whether the institution meets, partially meets, or does not meet DEAC’s Accreditation Standards and core components.

The Business Evaluator reviews the institution’s physical location to assure it meets local and state requirements.

**Note:** This report template is for review of an institution’s ongoing implementation of in-residence activities via the institution’s In-Residence Program Component – Companion Self-Evaluation Report. A separate report template is available for review of an institution’s Addition of In-Residence Program Component Post Approval Report.

# Instructions

It is the business evaluator’s responsibility to make an initial determination of whether the institution meets DEAC’s accreditation standards and to complete the following report template.

Findings guidelines:

* **Meets Standard:** The institution demonstrates compliance with the intent of the accreditation standard or core component.
* **Partially Meets Standard:** The institution was able to demonstrate compliance with some, but not all, of the elements contained in the accreditation standard or core component.
* **Does Not Meet Standard:** The institution was unable to demonstrate compliance with a majority of the elements contained in the accreditation standard or core component.

The evaluator should provide clear and concise descriptions within the “Comments” section of the report to support each determination that a standard or core component is met, partially met, or not met. If an institution meets the accreditation standard, the evaluator may want to consider highlighting within the Comments section the processes and procedures the institution followed that enabled it to demonstrate compliance. If an institution partially meets or does not meet a standard, the evaluator needs to adequately describe why the decision was reached and refer, as appropriate, to narrative sections and exhibits within the SER that support the determination.

The evaluator must also indicate the required actions necessary for the institution to demonstrate compliance with the partially met or unmet accreditation standard. Each required action must be tied back to an accreditation standard or core component.

For required actions, the business evaluator should begin each statement with, “[Insert Name of Institution] needs to [insert the action necessary by the institution to demonstrate compliance with the accreditation standard.]”

As part of the peer review process, it is important that institutions receive suggestions for improving their business operations and support services. The accreditation process allows the institution to benefit from an external review and perspective. The business evaluator is encouraged to provide suggestions within the report. Suggestions are those recommendations that are not required to meet minimum accreditation standards but are provided to the institution as an opportunity for growth and improvement.

For suggestions, the business evaluator should begin each statement with, “[Insert Name of Institution] may want to consider [insert the recommendation for improvement.]”

Report Submission: The business evaluator emails the completed report to the Chair, DEAC staff observer, and DEAC director of accreditation two weeks following the on-site visit. Once all information is received, DEAC notifies the business evaluator to appropriately dispose of all institutional materials.

Helpful Hints

* The business evaluator’s report should be objectively written in third person, narrative format using declarative sentences and simple verbs. The report should avoid broad generalities and speculative views.
* The business evaluator’s report represents an accurate, concise, factual, and thorough presentation of the individual findings during the on-site visit. The business evaluator clearly communicates findings to the Chair by providing evidence.
* When making a determination whether the institution meets, partially meets, or does not meet accreditation standards, the business evaluator must include evidence of documents reviewed on site or analyzed in the Self-Evaluation Report and Exhibits that led to the finding. Include specific examples.
* The business evaluator’s report documents attributes and deficiencies using language found in the accreditation standards and core components. All deficiencies must be documented.
* The business evaluator’s report should not require an institution to implement a new program or procedure in order to demonstrate compliance with a partially met or unmet accreditation standard. The business evaluator’s report states the required action necessary to provide evidence or demonstrate compliance. The institution bears responsibility for demonstrating compliance with DEAC’s accreditation standards.
* The business evaluator’s report accurately presents comments, required actions, and suggestions using direct quotations, references, data, and examples from the on-site visit.

The business evaluator’s report does not make recommendations to the Commission concerning the overall accreditation of the institution.

DEAC In-Residence Report (Confidential)

Name of Institution: Name of Institution

Date of Visit: Date of Onsite Visit

Name of Evaluator: Evaluator Name

Position on Team: Position on Team

Onsite Team Chair: Name of Onsite Team Chair

Date Report Due to Chair: Date Report Is Due

# Accreditation Standards Findings

## Standard VII: Advertising, Promotional Literature, and Recruitment Personnel

1. **Advertising and Promotion:** The institution conforms to ethical practices in all advertising and promotion to prospective students. All advertisements, website content, and promotional literature are truthful, accurate, clear, and readily accessible to the public; proactively states that programs are offered via distance education; and appropriately discloses occupational opportunities as applicable. Catalogs, enrollment agreements, manuals, and websites list the institution’s full name and physical address. At a minimum, all print advertisements and promotional literature include the institution’s city, state, and website home page URL where, in compliance with DEAC’s Website Disclosures Checklist, the institution’s physical address is provided. All web-based advertisements provide a link to the institution’s website home page URL. All institutional social media account profiles provide a link to the institution’s website home page URL. The institution complies with the DEAC’s Catalog Disclosures Checklist and DEAC’s Website Disclosures Checklist.
	1. All advertisements and promotional materials accurately reflect the programs and services offered by the institution. The word “guarantee” is never used in advertisements. Under limited and exceptional circumstances, institutions may use the word “free” when it is appropriate to the mission and purpose of the institution.
		1. In-Residence Program Component

All required in-residence components and additional associated costs are disclosed on the institution’s websites, advertisements, and promotional materials.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Standard VII.A. – Meets, Partially Meets, Does Not Meet, or Not Applicable** | Choose a finding. |

**Comments:** Provide comments to support the finding based on the institution’s responses and evidence provided prior to and during the on-site visit.

**Required Actions:** Provide the required actions necessary for the institution to demonstrate compliance with the Accreditation Standards. Each required action must correspond to an Accreditation Standard or core component.

**Suggestions:**Suggestions are those recommendations that are not required to meet minimum Accreditation Standards but are provided to the institution as an opportunity for growth and improvement.

## Standard IX: Financial Disclosures, Cancellations, and Refund Policies

1. **Refunds:** Each institution must have and implement a fair and equitable refund policy in compliance with state requirements or, in the absence of such requirements, in accordance with DEAC’s refund policy standards below and disclosed in the enrollment agreement or similar contractual document.

Any money due a student must be refunded within 30 days of a cancellation request, regardless of whether materials have been returned.

* 1. Refund Policy for In-Residence Courses/Programs

For a course/program that includes mandatory in-residence training, the costs for the distance study portion and the costs for the in-residence portion must be separately stated in the enrollment agreement.

The distance study portion of the combination course/program must use the refund policy stated in Section IX(C)(1) or Section IX(C)(2). If the mandatory in-residence portion of the course/program is more than six weeks, the institution may use the time-based refund policy in Section IX(C)(2). If the in-residence portion is less than six weeks, the institution may use the flexible time schedule refund policy in IX(C)(1).

If a student requests cancellation after attending the first in-residence class session, the institution may retain the application fee and a one-time registration fee of no more than 20 percent of the tuition, not to exceed $200, and library service fees, plus a percentage of tuition paid by the student in accordance with the published refund schedule.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Standard IX.C. – Meets, Partially Meets, Does Not Meet, or Not Applicable** | Choose a finding. |

**Comments:** Provide comments to support the finding based on the institution’s responses and evidence provided prior to and during the on-site visit.

**Required Actions:** Provide the required actions necessary for the institution to demonstrate compliance with the Accreditation Standards. Each required action must correspond to an Accreditation Standard or core component.

**Suggestions:**Suggestions are those recommendations that are not required to meet minimum Accreditation Standards but are provided to the institution as an opportunity for growth and improvement.

## Standard XII: Facilities, Equipment, Supplies, Record Protection and Retention

1. In-Residence Program Component

The institution provides appropriate training facilities for students participating in in-residence training and information on housing, as applicable. The facilities are in compliance with all state and federal requirements. The institution maintains adequate insurance to protect students, faculty, and staff while participating in in-residence training.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Standard XII.B. – Meets, Partially Meets, Does Not Meet, or Not Applicable** | Choose a finding. |

**Comments:** Provide comments to support the finding based on the institution’s responses and evidence provided prior to and during the on-site visit.

**Required Actions:** Provide the required actions necessary for the institution to demonstrate compliance with the Accreditation Standards. Each required action must correspond to an Accreditation Standard or core component.

**Suggestions:**Suggestions are those recommendations that are not required to meet minimum Accreditation Standards but are provided to the institution as an opportunity for growth and improvement.